

## University of Bahrain

# Journal of the Association of Arab Universities for Basic and Applied Sciences

www.elsevier.com/locate/jaaubas www.sciencedirect.com



# Stability and ultimate boundedness of solutions of some third order differential equations with delay



M. Remili\*, D. Beldjerd

University of Oran 1 Ahmed Ben Bella, Department of Mathematics, 31000 Oran, Algeria

Received 5 February 2016; revised 24 March 2016; accepted 2 May 2016 Available online 8 June 2016

#### KEYWORDS

Stability; Boundedness; Ultimate boundedness; Lyapunov functional; Third order; Delay equations **Abstract** This paper is devoted to study the boundedness, ultimate boundedness, and the asymptotic stability of solutions for a certain class of third-order nonlinear differential equations using Lyapunov's second method. Our results improve and form a complement to some earlier results in the literature.

© 2016 University of Bahrain. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

### 1. Introduction

The investigation of the qualitative properties of third order differential equations (with and without delay) have been intensively discussed and are still being investigated in the literature. By employing the Lyapunov's method, many good and interesting results have been obtained concerning the boundedness, ultimate boundedness and the asymptotic stability of solutions for certain nonlinear differential equations. See, the papers of Ademola and Arawomo (2013); Ademola et al. (2013); Burton (2005); Hara (1971); Bao and Cao (2009); Pan and Cao (2010, 2011, 2012); Omeike (2010); Oudjedi et al. (2014); Remili and Beldjerd (2014); Remili and Oudjedi (2014); Remili and Damerdji Oudjedi (2014); Li and Lizhi (1987); Tunç (2007a, b, 2010); Yoshizawa (1966) and their references.

In 1992, (Zhu, 1992), established some sufficient conditions to ensure the stability, boundedness and ultimate boundedness of the solutions of the following third order non-linear delay differential equation

E-mail address: remilimous@gmail.com (M. Remili).
Peer review under responsibility of University of Bahrain.

$$x''' + ax'' + bx' + f(x(t-r)) = e(t).$$

Recently, (Graef et al., 2015), studied the following third order non autonomous differential equation with delay

$$[g(x(t))x'(t)]'' + (h(x(t))x'(t))' + \varphi(x(t))x'(t) + f(x(t-r)) = e(t),$$

which is more general than those considered by Zhu (1992). Simulated by the above reasons, we investigate the boundedness, ultimate boundedness, and the asymptotic stability of solutions for a kind of third-order differential equation with delay as follows

$$[g(x''(t))x''(t)]' + (h(x'(t))x'(t))' + (\varphi(x(t))x(t))' + f(x(t-r)) = e(t),$$
(1.1)

where r > 0 is a fixed delay and e, f, g, h, and  $\varphi$  are continuous functions in their respective arguments with f(0) = 0. The continuity of functions e, f, g, h, and  $\varphi$  guarantees the existence of the solution of Eq. (1.1). In addition, it is also supposed that the derivatives f'(x), g'(u), h'(y) and  $\varphi'(x)$  exist and are continuous.

The main purpose of this paper is to establish criteria for the uniform asymptotic stability and, uniform ultimate boundedness, of solutions for the third order non-linear differential Eqs. (1.1). The results obtained in this investigation provide a good supplement to the existing results on the third order nonlinear delay differential equations in the literature as (Zhu, 1992; Graef et al., 2015).

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give a theorem, which deals with asymptotic stability of the zero solution of the delay differential Eq. (1.1) with e(t) = 0. In Section 3, we introduced theorem which discusses the uniform boundedness, and uniform ultimate boundedness of the solutions of Eq. (1.1) for the case  $e(t) \neq 0$ . Eventually, some conclusions are given in Section 4.

#### 2. Stability

Take general nonlinear non-autonomous delay differential equation in the form

$$x' = f(x_t), \quad x_t(\theta) = x(t+\theta), \quad -r \le \theta \le 0, \quad t \ge 0,$$
 (2.1) where  $f: C_H \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is a continuous mapping,  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $C_H := \{\phi \in (C[-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n) : \|\phi\| \le H\}$ , and for  $H_1 < H$ , there exists  $L(H_1) > 0$ , with  $|f(\phi)| < L(H_1)$  when  $\|\phi\| < H_1$ .

Lemma 2.1 Krasovskii, 1963. If there is a continuous functional  $V(t,\phi):[0,+\infty)\times C_H\to [0,+\infty)$  locally Lipschitz in  $\phi$ and wedges  $W_i$  such that:

- (i) If  $W_1(\|\phi\|) \leqslant V(t,\phi)$ , V(t,0) = 0 and  $V'_{(2,1)}(t,\phi) \leqslant 0$ . Then, the zero solution of (2.1) is stable. If in addition  $V(t,\phi) \leq W_2(\|\phi\|)$  Then, the zero solution of (2.1) is uniformly stable.
- (ii) If  $W_1(\|\phi\|) \leq V(t,\phi) \leq W_2(\|\phi\|)$  and  $V'_{(2,1)}(t,\phi) \leq$  $-W_3(\|\phi\|)$ . Then, the zero solution of (2.1) is uniformly asymptotically stable.

Now, suppose that there are positive constants  $g_0, g_1, h_0, h_1, \varphi_0, \varphi_1, \delta_0, \delta_1$  and  $\mu_1$  such that the following conditions which will be used on the functions that appeared in Eq. (1.1) are satisfied:

- (i)  $0 < g_0 \le g(u) \le g_1$ ,  $0 < h_0 \le h(y) \le h_1$ ,  $0 < \varphi_0 \leqslant \varphi(x) \leqslant \varphi_1$
- (ii)  $f(0) = 0, \frac{f(x)}{x} \ge \delta_0 > 0 \ (x \ne 0), \text{ and } |f'(x)| \le \delta_1 \text{ for all } x,$ (iii)  $\frac{\delta_1}{\varphi_0} < \mu_1 < \frac{h_0}{g_1},$ (iv)  $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (|g'(u)| + |h'(u)| + |\varphi'(u)|) du < \infty.$

For ease of exposition throughout this paper we will adopt the following notations

$$P(t) = g(x''(t)), \quad \theta_1(t) = \frac{P'(t)}{P^2(t)},$$

$$\theta_2(t) = h'(x'(t))x''(t) \text{ and } \theta_3(t) = \varphi'(x(t))x'(t).$$
and
$$(2.2)$$

$$\sigma_{1}(t) = \min\{x''(0), x''(t)\}, \quad \sigma_{2}(t) = \max\{x''(0), x''(t)\}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\rho_{1}(t) = \min\{x(0), x(t)\}, \quad \rho_{2}(t) = \max\{x(0), x(t)\},$$

$$\psi_{1}(t) = \min\{x'(0), x'(t)\}, \quad \psi_{2}(t) = \max\{x'(0), x'(t)\}.$$

For the case  $e(t) \equiv 0$ , The stability result of this paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** If in addition to the hypotheses (i)–(iv), suppose that the following is also satisfied

$$r < \min \bigg\{ \frac{2g_0(h_0 - \mu_1 g_1)}{g_1^2 \delta_1}, \frac{2g_0(\mu_1 \varphi_0 - \delta_1)}{\delta_1(2\mu_1 g_0 + 1)} \bigg\},$$

Then every solution of (1.1) is uniformly asymptotically stable.

**Proof.** Eq. (1.1) is equivalent to the following system

$$x' = y y' = \frac{z}{P(t)}$$

$$z' = -\frac{h(y)}{P(t)}z - \theta_2(t)y - \theta_3(t)x - \varphi(x)y - f(x)$$

$$+ \int_{t-1}^{t} y(s)f'(x(s))ds,$$
(2.4)

The main tool in the proofs of our results is the continuously differentiable functional  $W = W(t, x_t, y_t, z_t)$  defined as

$$W(t, x_t, y_t, z_t) = e^{-\frac{\omega(t)}{\mu}} V_1(t, x_t, y_t, z_t) = e^{-\frac{\omega(t)}{\mu}} V_1,$$
 (2.5)

$$V_{1} = \mu_{1}F(x) + f(x)y + \frac{\varphi(x)}{2}y^{2} + \frac{1}{2P(t)}z^{2} + \mu_{1}yz + \frac{1}{2}\mu_{1}h(y)y^{2} + \lambda \int_{-r}^{0} \int_{t+r}^{t} y^{2}(\xi)d\xi ds,$$
 (2.6)

$$\omega(t) = \int_0^t Q(s)ds, \text{ and } Q(t) = |\theta_1(t)| + |\theta_2(t)| + |\theta_3(t)|,$$
(2.7)

such that  $F(x) = \int_0^x f(u) du$  and  $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2$ ,  $\theta_3$ , are defined as (2.2).  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  are some positive constants which will be specified later in the proof. We observe that the above functional  $V_1$ can be rewritten as follows

$$V_{1} = \mu_{1}F(x) + \frac{\varphi(x)}{2} \left( y + \frac{f(x)}{\varphi(x)} \right)^{2} - \frac{f^{2}(x)}{2\varphi(x)} + \frac{1}{2P(t)} (z + \mu_{1}P(t)y)^{2} + \frac{\mu_{1}(h(y) - \mu_{1}P(t))}{2} y^{2} + \lambda \int_{-\tau}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} y^{2}(\xi) d\xi ds.$$

Considering the conditions (i) and (iii), we derive that

$$\frac{\mu_1(h(y) - \mu_1 P(t))}{2} \geqslant \frac{\mu_1(h_0 - \mu_1 g_1)}{2} > 0.$$

It follows that there exists sufficiently small positive constant  $\delta_2$  such that

$$\frac{1}{2P(t)}(z + \mu_1 P(t)y)^2 + \frac{\mu_1(h(y) - \mu_1 P(t))}{2}y^2 
\geqslant \delta_2 y^2 + \delta_2 z^2.$$
(2.8)

Under the hypotheses (i)–(iii), we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mu_1 F(x) - \frac{f^2(x)}{2\varphi(x)} & \geqslant & \mu_1 \int_0^x \left(1 - \frac{f'(u)}{\mu_1 \varphi(x)}\right) f(u) du \\ & \geqslant & \mu_1 \int_0^x \left(1 - \frac{\delta_1}{\mu_1 \varphi_0}\right) f(u) du \\ & \geqslant & \delta_3 F(x), \end{array}$$

$$\delta_3=\mu_1\bigg(1-\frac{\delta_1}{\mu_1\varphi_0}\bigg)>\mu_1\bigg(1-\frac{\mu_1}{\mu_1}\bigg)=0.$$

Moreover, assumption (ii) implies

92 M. Remili, D. Beldjerd

$$\mu_1 F(x) - \frac{f^2(x)}{2\omega(x)} \geqslant \frac{\delta_3 \delta_0}{2} x^2.$$
 (2.9)

Clearly, from (2.9), (2.8) and (2.6), we have the following estimate

$$V_1 \geqslant \delta_2 y^2 + \delta_2 z^2 + \frac{\delta_3 \delta_0}{2} x^2 + \lambda \int_{-r}^0 \int_{t+s}^t y^2(\xi) d\xi ds. \tag{2.10}$$

Since the integral  $\int_{t+s}^{t} y^2(\xi) d\xi$  is positive, we can find a positive constant  $k_0$ , small enough such that the last inequality gives

$$V_1 \geqslant k_0(x^2 + y^2 + z^2),$$
 (2.11)

where  $k_0 = min\{\delta_2; \frac{\delta_3 \delta_0}{2}\}$ . Observe that

$$P'(t) = g'(x''(t))x'''(t).$$

Thus, from hypotheses (i) and (iv), we have

$$\begin{split} \omega(t) &= \int_0^t Q(s) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{g_0^2} \int_{\sigma_1(t)}^{\sigma_2(t)} |g'(u)| du + \int_{\rho_1(t)}^{\rho_2(t)} |\varphi'(u)| du + \int_{\psi_1(t)}^{\psi_2(t)} |h'(u)| du \\ &\leq \frac{1}{g_0^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |g'(u)| du + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (|\varphi'(u)| + |h'(u)|) du \leq N < \infty, \end{split}$$

where  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$ ,  $\rho_1$ ,  $\rho_2$ ,  $\psi_1$ ,  $\psi_2$  are defined as (2.3).

Therefore we can find a continuous function  $W_1(|\Phi(0)|)$  with

$$W_1(|\Phi(0)|) \ge 0$$
 and  $W_1(|\Phi(0)|) \le W(t,\Phi)$ .

The existence of a continuous function  $W_2(\|\phi\|)$  which satisfies the inequality  $W(t, \phi) \leq W_2(\|\phi\|)$ , is easily verified.

Now, the time derivative of the functional  $V_1(t, x_t, y_t, z_t)$ , with respect to the system (2.4) can be calculated as follows

$$\begin{split} V_{1_{(2.4)}}' &= [f'(x) - \mu_1 \varphi(x)] y^2 + \left[ \frac{\mu_1 P(t) - h(y)}{P^2(t)} \right] z^2 + \Theta(t) + \lambda r y^2 \\ &+ \left( \frac{1}{P(t)} z + \mu_1 y \right) \int_{t-r}^t y(s) f'(x(s)) ds - \lambda \int_{t-r}^t y^2(\xi) d\xi, \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \Theta(t) &= -\frac{1}{2}\theta_1(t)z^2 - \theta_2(t) \left(\frac{h(y)}{P^2(t)}yz + \frac{\mu_1}{2}y^2\right) \\ &+ \theta_3(t) \left(\frac{1}{2}y^2 - \frac{1}{P(t)}xz - \mu_1 xy\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}|\theta_1(t)|z^2 + |\theta_2(t)| \left(\frac{h_1}{g_0^2}|yz| + \frac{\mu_1}{2}y^2\right) \\ &+ |\theta_3(t)| \left(\frac{1}{2}y^2 + \frac{1}{g_0}|xz| + \mu_1|xy|\right). \end{split}$$

Using the Schwartz inequality  $|uv| \leq \frac{1}{2}(u^2 + v^2)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{split} \Theta(t) \leqslant & \frac{1}{2} \left[ |\theta_1(t)| + \left( \mu_1 + \frac{h_1}{g_0^2} \right) |\theta_2(t)| \right] (y^2 + z^2) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \mu_1 + \frac{1}{g_0} \right) |\theta_3(t)| \left( x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \right) \\ \leqslant & \frac{k_1}{k_0} Q(t) V_1, \end{split}$$

where

$$k_1 = \frac{1}{2} max \left\{ 1 + \mu_1 + \frac{h_1}{g_0^2}, \ 1 + \mu_1 + \frac{1}{g_0} \right\}.$$

Furthermore, from hypotheses (i) and (ii), we get

$$\begin{aligned} V_{1_{(2.4)}}' &\leqslant -[\mu_1 \varphi_0 - \delta_1 - \lambda r] y^2 - \left[ \frac{h_0 - \mu_1 g_1}{g_1^2} \right] z^2 + \frac{k_1}{k_0} Q(t) V_1 \\ &+ \left( \frac{z}{P(t)} + \mu_1 y \right) \int_{t-r}^t y(s) f'(x(s)) ds - \lambda \int_{t-r}^t y^2(\xi) d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

Using again the Schwartz inequality, and making use of the fact that  $|f'(x)| \leq \delta_1$ , we obtain the following inequalities

$$\mu_1 y \int_{t-r}^t y(s) f'(x(s)) ds \leqslant \frac{\delta_1 \mu_1 r}{2} y^2 + \frac{\mu_1 \delta_1}{2} \int_{t-r}^t y^2(\xi) d\xi, \qquad (2.12)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{P(t)}z\int_{t-r}^{t}y(s)f'(x(s))ds \leqslant \frac{\delta_{1}r}{2g_{0}}z^{2} + \frac{\delta_{1}}{2g_{0}}\int_{t-r}^{t}y^{2}(\xi)d\xi.$$

After some rearrangement we obtain

$$\begin{split} V_{1_{(2.4)}}' &\leqslant -\left[\mu_{1}\varphi_{0} - \delta_{1} - \left(\lambda + \frac{\mu_{1}\delta_{1}}{2}\right)r\right]y^{2} \\ &- \left[\frac{h_{0} - \mu_{1}g_{1}}{g_{1}^{2}} - \frac{\delta_{1}r}{2g_{0}}\right]z^{2} + \frac{k_{1}}{k_{0}}Q(t)V_{1} \\ &+ \left[\frac{\delta_{1}}{2}\left(\mu_{1} + \frac{1}{g_{0}}\right) - \lambda\right]\int_{t-r}^{t}y^{2}(\xi)d\xi. \end{split} \tag{2.13}$$

Let

$$\begin{split} \lambda &= \frac{\delta_1}{2} \left( \mu_1 + \frac{1}{g_0} \right), \\ M_1 &= \mu_1 \varphi_0 - \delta_1 - \frac{\delta_1}{2} \left( 2\mu_1 + \frac{1}{g_0} \right) r, \\ M_2 &= \frac{h_0 - \mu_1 g_1}{g_1^2} - \frac{\delta_1 r}{2g_0}. \end{split}$$

Hence, the last inequality becomes

$$V'_{1_{(2,4)}} \leqslant -M_1 y^2 - M_2 z^2 + \frac{k_1}{k_0} Q(t) V_1.$$

Now, in view of the inequalities (2.11) and (2.5) and taking  $\mu = \frac{k_0}{k_1}$  we obtain

$$\begin{split} W_{(2.4)}' &= e^{-\frac{k_1 \omega(t)}{k_0}} \bigg( V_{1_{(2.4)}}' - \frac{k_1}{k_0} Q(t) V_1 \bigg) \\ &\leq e^{-\frac{k_1 \omega(t)}{k_0}} \big[ -M_1 y^2 - M_2 z^2 \big]. \end{split}$$

Provided that

$$r < \min \left\{ \frac{2g_0(h_0 - \mu_1 g_1)}{g_1^2 \delta_1}, \frac{2g_0(\mu_1 \varphi_0 - \delta_1)}{\delta_1(2\mu_1 g_0 + 1)} \right\}$$

one can conclude for some positive constant D > 0 that

$$W'_{(2.4)}(t, x_t, y_t, z_t) \leqslant -D(y^2 + z^2),$$

where

$$D = e^{-\frac{k_1 N}{k_0}} \min \{ M_1, \ M_2 \}.$$

From (2.4),  $W_3(||X||) = D(y^2 + z^2)$  is positive definite function. Hence, Lemma 2.1 guarantees that the trivial solution of Eq. (1.1) is uniformly asymptotically stable and completes the proof of the Theorem.  $\Box$ 

#### 3. Boundedness of solutions

Consider

$$x' = f(t, x_t), x_t(\theta) = x(t+\theta), -r \leqslant \theta \le 0$$
(3.1)

where  $f: \mathbb{R} \times C \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , is continuous mappings and takes bounded sets into bounded sets.

**Lemma 3.1** Burton, 1985. Let  $V(t, \varphi) : \mathbb{R} \times C \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous and locally Lipschitz in  $\varphi$ . If

(i) 
$$W_0(|x(t)|) \le V(t,x_t) \le W_1(|x(t)|) + W_2\left(\int_{t-r}^t W_3(|x(t)|)ds\right)$$
,

(ii) 
$$V'_{(3,1)} \leq -W_3(|x(t)|) + M$$
,

for some M > 0, where  $W_i(i = 0, 1, 2, 3)$  are wedges, then the solutions of (3.1) are uniformly bounded and uniformly ultimately bounded for bound B.

Now, we shall state and prove our main result on the boundedness and ultimate boundedness of (1.1) with  $e(t) \neq 0$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** If hypotheses (i)–(iv) hold true, and in addition the following conditions are satisfied

- (j)  $|e(t)| \leq m$ , for some m > 0,
- (jj)  $\delta_0 > \frac{1+\varphi_1}{2}$ ,

(jjj) 
$$\mu_2 = min \left\{ \frac{1}{g_1}, \frac{2(h_0 \varphi_0 - \delta_1 g_1)}{g_0(2 + \varphi_1)}, \frac{(\mu_1 \varphi_0 - \delta_1)}{h_1} \right\}.$$

Then every solution of (1.1) is uniformly bounded and uniformly ultimately bounded provided r satisfies

$$r < \min \left\{ \frac{2\delta_0 - (1 + \varphi_1)}{\delta_1}, \frac{g_0 \left( 2(h_0 - \mu_1 g_1) - \mu_2 g_1^2 \right)}{2g_1^2 \delta_1}, \frac{2g_0 (\mu_1 \varphi_0 - \delta_1 - \mu_2 h_1)}{\delta_1 (2h_1 + (2\mu_1 + \mu_2)g_0 + 2)} \right\},$$
(3.2)

**Proof.** In the case  $e(t) \neq 0$  The Eq. (1.1) is equivalent to the following system

$$x' = y y' = \frac{z}{P(t)}$$

$$z' = -\frac{h(y)}{P(t)}z - \theta_2(t)y - \theta_3(t)x - \varphi(x)y - f(x)$$

$$+ \int_{t-t}^{t} y(s)f'(x(s))ds + e(t).$$
(3.3)

As in Theorem 2.2, the proof of this theorem also depends on the differentiable Lyapunov functional U defined as

$$U(t, x_t, y_t, z_t) = e^{-\eta(t)} V(t, x_t, y_t, z_t) = e^{-\eta(t)} V,$$
(3.4)

Such that  $V(t, x_t, y_t, z_t) = V_1(t, x_t, y_t, z_t) + V_2(t, x_t, y_t, z_t)$  where  $V_1$  is defined as (2.6) and

$$V_2 = \frac{h(y)}{P(t)}F(x) + \frac{\mu_2}{2}x^2 + f(x)y + \frac{\varphi(x)}{2}y^2 + \mu_2 x(z + h(y)y) + \frac{1}{2P(t)}(z + h(y)y)^2,$$

where

$$\eta(t) = \int_0^t \left[ \frac{1}{\omega} Q(s) + \frac{1}{\alpha} |\theta_4(t)| \right] ds,$$

where Q(t), is defined as (2.7) and  $\theta_4(t) = \left(\frac{h(y)}{P(t)}\right)'$ .  $\omega$  and  $\alpha$  are positive constants which will be specified later in the proof. We have

$$\begin{split} V_2 &= \frac{h(y)}{P(t)} F(x) + \frac{1}{2} ((z + h(y)y) + \mu_2 P(t)x)^2 \\ &+ \frac{\varphi(x)}{2} \left( y + \frac{f(x)}{\varphi(x)} \right)^2 - \frac{f^2(x)}{2\varphi(x)} + \frac{1}{2} \mu_2 (1 - P(t)\mu_2) x^2. \end{split}$$

We can verify that

$$\begin{split} V_2 &\geqslant \frac{h(y)}{P(t)} F(x) - \frac{f^2(x)}{2\varphi(x)} \\ &\geqslant \frac{h_0}{g_1} F(x) - \frac{1}{2\varphi_0} f^2(x) \\ &\geqslant \int_0^x \left(\frac{h_0}{g_1} - \frac{\delta_1}{\varphi_0}\right) f(u) du \\ &\geqslant \delta_4 F(x), \end{split}$$

where  $\delta_4 = h_0 - \frac{g_1 \delta_1}{\varphi_0} > 0$ . Thus from (ii) we obtain,

$$V_2 \geqslant \frac{\delta_4 \delta_0}{2} x^2. \tag{3.5}$$

Clearly, from (3.5) and (2.10) and the fact that the integral  $\int_{-r(t)}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} y^{2}(\xi) d\xi ds$  is positive, we deduce that

$$V \geqslant \delta_2 y^2 + \delta_2 z^2 + \frac{\delta_5 \delta_0}{2} x^2,$$

where  $\delta_5 = \delta_3 + \delta_4$ . Further simplification of the last estimate gives

$$V \geqslant k(x^2 + y^2 + z^2),\tag{3.6}$$

where  $k = min\{\delta_2; \frac{\delta_5 \delta_0}{2}\}$ . From hypotheses (i) and (iv), we have

$$\int_{0}^{t} |\theta_{4}(s)| ds \leq \frac{1}{g_{0}} \int_{\psi_{1}(t)}^{\psi_{2}(t)} |h'(u)| du + \frac{h_{1}}{g_{0}^{2}} \int_{\sigma_{1}(t)}^{\sigma_{2}(t)} |g'(u)| du$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{g_{0}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |h'(u)| du + \frac{h_{1}}{g_{0}^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (|g'(u)| + |h'(u)|) du$$

$$\leq M < \infty,$$

where  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$ ,  $\psi_1$ ,  $\psi_2$  are defined as (2.3).

Therefore we can find a continuous function  $U_1(|\Phi(0)|)$  with

$$U_1(|\Phi(0)|) \ge 0$$
 and  $U_1(|\Phi(0)|) \le U(t,\Phi)$ .

The existence of a continuous function  $U_2(\|\phi\|)$  which satisfies the inequality  $U(t,\phi) \leq U_2(\|\phi\|)$ , is also easily shown.

Using a basic calculation, the time derivative of the functional  $V(t, x_t, y_t, z_t)$ , along the trajectories of the system (3.3), results in

$$V'_{(3.3)} = V'_{1_{(3.3)}} + V'_{2_{(3.3)}},$$

where

$$V'_{1_{(3.3)}} = V'_{1_{(2.4)}} + \mu_1 y e(t) + \frac{z}{P(t)} e(t).$$

94 M. Remili, D. Beldjerd

Combining (2.13) with (j) we get

$$V'_{1_{(3,3)}} \leq -\left[\mu_{1}\varphi_{0} - \delta_{1} - \left(\lambda + \frac{\mu_{1}\delta_{1}}{2}\right)r\right]y^{2} - \left[\frac{h_{0} - \mu_{1}g_{1}}{g_{1}^{2}} - \frac{\delta_{1}r}{2g_{0}}\right]z^{2} + \left[\frac{\delta_{1}}{2}\left(\mu_{1} + \frac{1}{g_{0}}\right) - \lambda\right]\int_{t-r}^{t}y^{2}(\xi)d\xi + \frac{\mu_{1}}{g_{0}}|y|m + |z|m + k_{1}Q(t)(y^{2} + z^{2}).$$

$$(3.7)$$

We have

$$\begin{split} V_{2_{(3.3)}}' &= \theta_4(t) F(x) + \mu_2 (1 - \varphi(x)) xy + \frac{f'(x) P(t) - h(y) \varphi(x)}{P(t)} y^2 \\ &+ \mu_2 yz + \mu_2 h(y) y^2 - \mu_2 x f(x) + \mu_2 x e(t) + z e(t) \\ &+ \frac{h(y)}{P(t)} y e(t) - \frac{1}{2} (z + h(y) y)^2 \theta_1(t) \\ &- \left[ \frac{1}{2} y^2 + \frac{1}{P(t)} (xz + h(y) xy) + \mu_2 x^2 \right] \theta_3(t) \\ &+ \left( \mu_2 x + z + \frac{h(y)}{P(t)} y \right) \int_{t-t}^t y(s) f'(x(s)) ds. \end{split}$$

We can now proceed analogously to (2.12)

$$\begin{split} \left(\mu_{2}x + \frac{h(y)}{P(t)}y + \frac{z}{P(t)}\right) \int_{t-r}^{t} y(s)f'(x(s))ds \\ & \leq \left(\frac{\mu_{2}\delta_{1}r}{2}x^{2} + \frac{\delta_{1}h_{1}r}{2g_{0}}y^{2} + \frac{\delta_{1}r}{2g_{0}}z^{2}\right) \\ & + \left(\frac{\mu_{2}\delta_{1}}{2} + \frac{h_{1}\delta_{1}}{2g_{0}} + \frac{\delta_{1}}{2g_{0}}\right) \int_{t-r}^{t} y^{2}(\xi)d\xi. \end{split}$$

Using Schwartz inequality and conditions (i), (ii), (j) we obtain

$$\begin{split} V_{2_{(3,3)}}' & \leq \theta_4(t) F(x) - \mu_2 \left[ \delta_0 - \frac{(1+\varphi_1)}{2} - \frac{\delta_1 r}{2} \right] x^2 \\ & - \left[ \frac{h_0 \varphi_0 - \delta_1 g_1}{g_0} - \frac{\mu_2}{2} (2+\varphi_1) \right] y^2 \\ & + \left[ \mu_2 h_1 + \frac{r \delta_1 h_1}{2g_0} \right] y^2 + \left[ \frac{\mu_2}{2} + \frac{\delta_1 r}{2g_0} \right] z^2 + \frac{h_1}{g_0} |y| m \\ & + \mu_2 |x| m + |z| m + (z^2 + h_1^2 y^2) |\theta_1(t)| \\ & + \left[ \left( \mu_2 + \frac{1}{2g_0} (1+h_1) \right) x^2 + \frac{1}{2} y^2 + \frac{1}{2g_0} z^2 \right] |\theta_3(t)| \\ & + \delta_1 \frac{h_1 + \mu_2 g_0 + 1}{2g_0} \int_{t-r}^t y^2(\xi) d\xi. \end{split}$$

Combining (3.8) and (3.7), and using condition (jjj), we get

$$\begin{split} V'_{(3.3)} & \leq \theta_4(t) F(x) + \mu_2 |x| m + \frac{h_1 + \mu_1}{g_0} |y| m + 2 |z| m - \beta_1 x^2 \\ & - \beta_2 y^2 - \beta_3 z^2 + k_2 Q(t) \left( x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \right) \\ & + \left( \frac{\delta_1 [g_0(\mu_1 + \mu_2) + 2 + h_1]}{2g_0} - \lambda \right) \int_{t-r}^t y^2(\xi) d\xi, \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \beta_1 &= \mu_2 \bigg[ \delta_0 - \frac{(1 + \varphi_1)}{2} - \frac{\delta_1 r}{2} \bigg], \\ \beta_2 &= \mu_1 \varphi_0 - \delta_1 - \mu_2 h_1 - r \bigg( \lambda + \frac{\delta_1 \mu_1}{2} + \frac{\delta_1 h_1}{2g_0} \bigg), \\ \beta_3 &= \bigg[ \frac{h_0 - \mu_1 g_1}{g_1^2} - \frac{\mu_2}{2} - \frac{\delta_1 r}{g_0} \bigg], \\ k_2 &= k_1 + \frac{1}{2g_0} (1 + h_1) + \mu_2 + (1 + h_1^2). \end{split}$$

**Taking** 

$$\frac{\delta_1[g_0(\mu_1 + \mu_2) + 2 + h_1]}{2g_0} = \lambda,$$
  

$$\min \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\} = \beta,$$

and using (3.2) and (3.6) and (jj) the last estimate becomes

$$V'_{(3,3)} \leq \theta_4(t)F(x) + \mu_2|x|m + \frac{h_1 + \mu_1}{g_0}|y|m + 2|z|m$$
$$-\beta(x^2 + y^2 + z^2) + \frac{k_2}{k}Q(t)V.$$

It follows that

$$V'_{(3,3)} \le \theta_4(t)F(x) - \beta(x^2 + y^2 + z^2) + \beta\gamma(|x| + |z| + |y|) + \frac{k_2}{k}Q(t)V,$$

where

$$\gamma = \frac{m}{\beta} \max \left\{ 2, \ \frac{h_1 + \mu_1}{g_0}, \ \mu_2 \right\}.$$

The above estimate may be written as

$$\begin{split} V_{(3.3)} &\leqslant \theta_4(t) F(x) - \frac{\beta}{2} (x^2 + y^2 + z^2) + \frac{k_2}{k} Q(t) V \\ &- \frac{\beta}{2} [x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 2\gamma(|x| + |z| + |y|)] \\ &= \theta_4(t) F(x) - \frac{\beta}{2} (x^2 + y^2 + z^2) + \frac{k_2}{k} Q(t) V \\ &- \frac{\beta}{2} [(|x| - \gamma)^2 + (|y| - \gamma)^2 + (|z| - \gamma)^2] + \frac{3\beta}{2} \gamma^2 \\ &\leqslant \theta_4(t) F(x) - \frac{\beta}{2} (x^2 + y^2 + z^2) + \frac{k_2}{k} Q(t) V + \frac{3\beta}{2} \gamma^2 \end{split}$$

It is clear that

$$U'_{(3.3)}(t, x_t, y_t, z_t) = e^{-\eta(t)} \left[ V'_{(3.3)} - \left( \frac{1}{\omega} Q(t) + \frac{1}{\alpha} |\theta_4(t)| \right) V \right].$$

Putting  $\omega = \frac{k}{k_2}$  and  $\alpha = \delta_4$  we obtain

$$U'_{(3.3)}(t, x_t, y_t, z_t) \leqslant L\left[-\frac{\beta}{2}(x^2 + y^2 + z^2) + \frac{3\beta}{2}\gamma^2\right], \text{ for some}$$
  
 $L > 0.$ 

Hence the conclusions of Theorem 3.2 follow from Lemma 3.1, this completes the proof of Theorem.  $\Box$ 

#### 4. Conclusions

It is well known that the problem of ultimate boundedness of solutions of nonlinear is very important in the theory and applications of differential equations. Sufficient conditions for the boundedness, ultimate boundedness, and the asymptotic stability of solutions for a certain third order nonlinear differential equation are given with the aid of an effective method namely Lyapunov second or direct method. The appropriate Lyapunov function is given explicitly to obtain the results. Finally, it is worth noting that our study complement some well known results on the third order differential equations in the literature.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the referees whose suggested revisions have improved the exposition of this paper.

#### References

- Ademola, A.T., Arawomo, P.O., 2013. Uniform stability and boundedness of solutions of nonlinear delay differential equations of third order. Math. J. Okayama Univ. 55, 157–166.
- Ademola, A.T., Arawomo, P.O., Ogunlaran, O.M., Oyekan, E.A., 2013. Uniform stability, boundedness and asymptotic behaviour of solutions of some third order nonlinear delay differential equations. Differ. Equ. Control Processes, N4.
- Burton, T.A., 1985. Stability and periodic solutions of ordinary and functional differential equations. In: Mathematics in Science and Engineering, 178. Academic Press Inc, Orlando, FL.
- Burton, T.A., 2005. Volterra Integral and Differential Equations, 2nd ed.. In: Mathematics in Science and Engineering, V(202).
- Graef, J.R., Beldjerd, D., Remili, M., 2015. On stability, ultimate boundedness, and existence of periodic solutions of certain third order differential equations with delay. PanAm. Math. J. 25, 82–94.
- Graef, J.R., Oudjedi, D.L., Remili, M., 2015. Stability and square integrability of solutions of nonlinear third order differential equations. Dyn. Continuous Discrete Impulsive Syst. Ser. A: Math. Anal. 22, 313–324.
- Hara, T., 1971. On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of certain third order ordinary differential equations. Proc. Japan Acad. 47, 903–908.
- Bao, H., Cao, J., 2009. Existence and uniqueness of solutions to neutral stochastic functional differential equations with infinite delay. Appl. Math. Comp. 215 (5), 1732–1743.
- Krasovskii, N.N., 1963. Stability of Motion. Stanford Univ. Press. Pan, L., Cao, J., 2010. Oscillations of even order linear impulsive delay
- Pan, L., Cao, J., 2010. Oscillations of even order linear impulsive delay differential equations. Differ. Equ. Appl. 2, 163–176.
- Pan, L., Cao, J., 2011. Exponential stability of impulsive stochastic functional differential equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 382 (2), 672– 685

- Pan, L., Cao, J., 2012. Exponential stability of stochastic functional differential equations with Markovian switching and delayed impulses via Razumikhin method. Adv. Differ. Eqs. 2012, 61.
- Omeike, M.O., 2010. New results on the stability of solution of some non-autonomous delay differential equations of the third order. Differ. Equ. Control Processes 2010 (1), 18–29.
- Oudjedi, L., Beldjerd, D., Remili, M., 2014. On the stability of solutions for non-autonomous delay differential equations of third order. Differ. Equ. Control Processes, 22–34.
- Remili, M., Beldjerd, D., 2014. On the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of third order delay differential equations. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 63 (2), 447–455.
- Remili, M., Oudjedi, L., 2014. Uniform stability and boundedness of a kind of third order delay differential equations. Bull. Comput. Appl. Math. 2 (1), 25–35.
- Remili, M., Damerdji Oudjedi, L., 2014. Stability and boundedness of the solutions of non autonomous third order differential equations with delay. Acta Univ. Palacki. Olomuc. Fac. Rerum Natur. Math. 53, 139–147.
- Li, Senlin, Lizhi, Wen, 1987. Functional Differential Equations. Hunan Science and Technology press.
- Tunç, C., 2007a. On asymptotic stability of solutions to third order nonlinear differential equations with retarded argument. Commun. Appl. Anal. 11 (4), 515–528.
- Tunç, C., 2007b. Stability and boundedness of solutions of nonlinear differential equations of third order with delay. J. Differ. Equ. Control Processes (3), 1–13.
- Tunç, C., 2010. Some stability and boundedness conditions for non-autonomous differential equations with deviating arguments. E. J. Qualitative Theory Diff. Equ. (1), 1–12
- Yoshizawa, T., 1966. Stability theory by Liapunov's second method. The Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo.
- Zhu, Y.F., 1992. On stability, boundedness and existence of periodic solution of a kind of third order nonlinear delay differential system. Ann. Differ. Eqs. 8 (2), 249–259.