



# Artificial-Intelligence-Enhanced Beamforming for Power-Efficient User Targeting in 5G Networks

Ali Othman Al Janaby<sup>1</sup>, Yousif Maher Al-Hatim<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Communications Engineering Department, Ninevah University, Mosul, Iraq.

<sup>2</sup> Communications Engineering Department, Ninevah University, Mosul, Iraq.

E-mail address: ali.mohammed@uoninevah.edu.iq, yousif.maher.eng22@stu.uoninevah.edu.iq.

**Abstract:** In the quest for optimizing 5G networks, this study was performed to introduce an innovative Artificial Intelligence (A.I.)-based beamforming technique focused on power efficiency and signal integrity. By leveraging a machine learning algorithm, the base station (BS) conducts an omnidirectional scan to identify and direct beams towards the user equipment (UE) exhibiting the lowest possible power signature for optimizing the overall network's performance. Extensive simulations conducted using a Uniform Linear Array (ULA) at 28 GHz with Quadrature Amplitude Modulation (QAM) to authenticate the process, A.I. algorithm dynamically adjusted the beamforming weights, which were then applied to synthetic user signals to simulate real-world conditions. The results that were validated through Bit Error Rate (BER), Throughput, Angle of Arrival (AOA), Direction of Arrival (DOA), and Array Response (AR) metrics has shown that the A.I.-driven approach does not only reduces power consumption but also maintains user's signal fidelity with high precision. A.I.'s decision-making process was exactly analyzed showing its capability to fine-tune beam direction in the presence of noise and interference. The study concluded that A.I.-based steering in the direction of the least power-intensive user is not only capable of functioning adequately but also enhances and improves the overall network efficiency and reliability.

**Keywords:** A.I., Beamforming, BER, Throughput, 5G.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

There has been a lot of interest in millimeter-wave (mm-wave) beamforming's ability to deliver extremely high data rates of gigabits per second (Gb/s) as a broadband possibility for fifth generation (5G) cellular communications networks. Massive multi-input multi-output (MIMO) is one of the most promising techniques for boosting the spectral efficiency (SE) of cellular networks. It involves using beamforming technology to outfit the base station (BS) with antenna arrays that have hundreds or thousands of active elements and perform coherent processing on both the transmitter and receiver sides [1].

The key components that enable current wireless communications are beamforming with millimeter-wave (mmWave) and massive MIMO systems. Using mmWave technology, which significantly increases the data rate, throughput, and capacity, is a key component in resolving throttling congestion in the available bandwidth. Massive MIMO mmWave communication achieves the desired output results SE by employing multiple frequencies with three different beamforming techniques: conjugate

beamforming (CB), minimum mean squared error (MMSE), and zero-forcing (ZF) across mm-Wave channel [2]. However, conventional beamforming techniques, while effective, often require significant power consumption and may not optimize the use of the electromagnetic spectrum.

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (A.I.) with beamforming presents a novel solution to these challenges. A.I. algorithms can dynamically adapt to the environment, users' positions, and channel conditions, enabling more efficient and intelligent beam steering [3].

This research introduces an innovative A.I.-based beamforming technique that focuses on steering the beam towards users with the least power consumption while maintaining the integrity of the signal. The suggested method uses a phased array system with a carrier frequency of 28 GHz and machine learning algorithms to look at the beamforming weights and change them in real time. This approach not only promises significant improvements in power efficiency but also in the accuracy of the signal's directivity, which is crucial for high-density environments where 5G deployment is most beneficial [4-5].



The rest of this paper is as the following way, the previous works related to beamforming presented in section II. Section III presents the mathematical model of the proposed AI beamforming. Section IV explains the system assumptions and configuration of proposed model. Section V presents simulation evaluation and results assessment. Finally, the paper presents the conclusions and future work in Section VI.

## 2. RELATED WORKS

The beamforming problems have heightened the demand for technical solutions to overcome the technical problems associated with directing the beam at certain points. Some developing centers have suggested solutions for these problems such as enhancement of signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), while others suggested different solutions.

In [6], the authors explored the concept of contextual beamforming, advantages, disadvantages and implications. Their study presented an impressive 53% improvement in signal-to noise ratio (SNR) by implementing the adaptive beamforming (MRT) algorithm compared to scenarios without beamforming. They examined the importance of localization in implementing contextual beamforming. In [7], the author studied and analyzed the overall FEDS approach performance and tried to find its optimal window length for adaptive beamforming applications. The author used the channel in a Rayleigh fading model with Jake's power spectral density, which is a popular choice for wireless communications systems which has a Doppler frequency and the user's mobility parameters. In [8], authors elaborated on the motivations and difficulties faced in implementing deep learning for beam control in millimeter-wave communications. 3GPP provides a glimpse of big-antenna arrays and directional beamforming as ways to counteract the poor free space loss that mmWave signals have and reviews the current state-of-the-art techniques for DL-assisted beam management. They also touch on their research vector and major characteristics. In addition to the advantages of narrow beams for large beamforming gains, it also points out some drawbacks, such as training overhead, and sensitivity compared to blockages associated with the use of thin channels. By summarizing the challenges and future opportunities of DL design insights, novel beam management mechanisms for stimulating innovative ideas and contributions in DL-assisted beam management are proposed. In [9], authors compared the performance of mm-wave frequencies (28 GHz and 73 GHz) in terms of spectrum efficiency using massive MIMO and two beamforming methods. Its results are that in different cases with an increasing number of antennas, there are significant improvements on the spectrum using 28 GHz compared to 73 GHz. 28 GHz performed better than 73 GHz, in which beamforming technology consists of two main classes, namely, conjugate beamforming (CB), also referred to as spatial multiplexing vector, and zero-forcing (ZF) carried out for null. In general, the paper has proposed that mm-

wave frequencies coupled with massive MIMO and beamforming techniques would significantly improve the spectrum efficiency of cellular communication networks. 28 GHz depicts that it outperforms in this case by giving greater performance. In [10], the paper compared many resources scheduling schemes in the 5G system with network slicing. The paper compared many resource scheduling algorithms, best CQI (BCQI), Round Robin (RR), proportional fair (PF), to assess each scheme performance. Then they proposed an adaptive scheduling scheme that dynamically chooses the scheduling algorithm among mentioned schemes that optimized the traffic, user throughput, and cell capacity. In [11], the system is composed of four stages: antenna array, channel model, spatial multiplexing, and hybrid beamforming. An array of antennas is constructed and used for the sub channel model. The outputs are then used to simulate spatial multiplexing and hybrid beamforming. The result of each model concludes that both transmission methods are reliable for merging 5G cellular systems. Finally, in [12], the authors proposed an integrated system utilizes the 5G wireless cellular integrated with satellite communication systems by taming many downlink channels of the satellite link to manage the new 6G system. With the terrestrial's satellite, the satellite receivers will be directed to the LEO-system are communicated to the 5G systems or base stations. The new system will be able to support all user services and applications.

## 3. MATHEMATICAL SYSTEM MODEL

The mathematical equations provided are recognized in the communications engineering discipline and represents a fundamental resource for the proposed model paper [13-14].

### A. Mathematical Model For Beamforming

The mathematical model of the simulated A.I. (Artificial Intelligence) based beamforming system can be described mathematically just as follows; consider a Uniform Linear Array (ULA) with "  $N$  " antenna elements spaced at half the wavelength ( $\frac{\lambda}{2}$ ) apart. The array factor for a ULA can be expressed in Eq. (1):

$$[AF(\theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} w_n e^{-jkn \cos(\theta)d}] \quad (1)$$

Where ( $w_n$ ) is the complex weight, applied on the ninth elements, represented here as ( $k = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}$ ), where ( $d$ ) signifies for the distance between elements and ( $\theta$ ) is taken to be the arrival of angle of signal. The received signal ( $x(t)$ ) at the ULA from a user can be modeled as shown in Eq. (2):

$$[x(t) = A(t)s(t)e^{j(2\pi f_c t + \Phi)} + n(t)] \quad (2)$$

Where ( $A(t)$ ) is the signal amplitude, ( $s(t)$ ) is the transmitted signal, ( $f_c$ ) is the carrier frequency, ( $\Phi$ ) is the phase shift introduced by channel and ( $n(t)$ ) stands for noise is the signal amplitude, is the transmitted signal, is

the carrier frequency, is the phase shift introduced by the channel, and represents the noise.

An A.I.-based beamforming algorithm should strive to optimize the weights ( $w_n$ ) such that (SNR), is maximized, and power consumption is minimized at the user; it is done by reducing the overall radiated power ( $P_{total}$ ), which is to be transmitted while making sure that the signal strength picked up by the intended user fall over a threshold ( $\gamma$ ). It can be formulated into an optimization problem as in Eq. (3,4):

$$[W_{min} P_{total} = W_{min} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |w_n|^2] \quad (3)$$

$$[ \text{s.t. } \frac{|AF(\theta)A(t)|^2}{\sigma_n^2} \geq \gamma ] \quad (4)$$

Where ( $\sigma_n^2$ ) is the noise power.

A.I. algorithm adjusts according to the characteristics of the received signals and difference between users' locations by iterative updating weights ( $w_n$ ). The feedback mechanism used by the A.I. includes BER and throughput measurements; that are adjusted by means of tuning of beamforming weights for the network to adjust accordingly to any changes around it or in user behavior.

#### B. Mathematical Model For A.I. Training

Consider a case of ( $K$ ) users and ( $s_k(t)$ ) represents the signal destined for the ( $k^{th}$ ) user, while ( $x_k(t)$ ) indicates the received vector at ULA by the ( $k^{th}$ ) user. The ULA has ( $N$ ) antenna elements. The channel between the array and each user indicated by ( $h_k$ ), which is itself a complex vector that specifies the channel coefficients.

The A.I. algorithm operates in two phases: training and execution. In (Training Phase), the A.I. uses a set of training signals to learn the optimal beamforming weights. The channel state information (CSI) for each user is estimated and stored. In this stage, the A.I. employs a bunch of training signals in order to compute the most appropriate beamforming weights. Channel state information (CSI) for each user, i.e., ( $h_k$ ), is valued and preserved. In (Execution Phase), the A.I. applies the learned weights and calculates estimated (CSI) to adapt it in real time for beamforming vector according to changing conditions. An optimization issue of beamforming is the minimization of power with Quality of Service (QoS) constraints related to each user as in Eq. (5).

$$[\min_W = \sum_{k=1}^K |w_k|^2] \quad (5)$$

Subject to the following constraints for each user ( $k$ ), Eq. (6) is representing Signal-to-Interference-plus-Noise Ratio (SINR) constraint for user ( $k$ ):

$$[\frac{|h_k^H w_k|^2}{\sum_{i \neq k} |h_k^H w_i|^2 + \sigma^2} \geq \gamma_k] \quad (6)$$

Where ( $w_k$ ) the beamforming vector for user is ( $k$ ), ( $\gamma_k$ ) is the minimum signal to interference plus noise ratio (SINR) required for user ( $k$ ), and ( $\sigma^2$ ) is the noise power.

QoS constraints such as the minimum data rate requirement modeled in Eq (7):

$$[\log_2(1 + SINR_k) \geq R_k] \quad (7)$$

Where ( $R_k$ ) is the minimum data rate required for user ( $k$ ). The objective function seeks to minimize the sum of transmit power for all users without damaging their QoS. The real-time channel estimations and the QoS requirements change accordingly, while the A.I. algorithm adjusts in time by adjusting its weights ( $W = [w_1, w_2, \dots, w_K]$ ).

The A.I. could potentially use reinforcement learning (RL) or deep learning (DL) techniques to learn the optimal policy for adjusting beamforming weights. For instance, one might train deep neural network with the given CSI and QoS specifications as an input and the optimal beamforming weights for accessing best BS transmitting state if any or only one user at a time in cases of traffic concentration. While functional, the network would extract current CSI and QoS demands during operation that would feed in to present weights of minimum power with constraints gratified. These elements significantly increase the complexity of the mathematical model; however, they contribute to greater adaptability and efficiency of A.I.-driven beamforming strategy in a mobile multi-user environment. This model paves way for a powerful system, which can achieve power optimal usage in such an environment that relies heavily on energy-use appliances and people with user satisfaction.

#### C. Mathematical Model For 16-QAM System's Modulation

For the proposed system, the following 16-QAM modulation equations have been used for beamforming and signal transmission. The BER for a 16-QAM modulation in an Additive White Gaussian Noise (AWGN) channel can be approximated in Eq. (8):

$$[\text{BER} \approx \frac{4}{\log_2(M)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}\right) Q \left( \sqrt{\frac{3 \log_2(M) \cdot E_b}{(M-1) N_0}} \right)] \quad (8)$$

Where ( $M$ ) is the modulation order (16 for 16-QAM), ( $Q(x)$ ) is the Q-function, which represents the tail probability of the Gaussian distribution, ( $E_b$ ) is the energy per bit, ( $N_0$ ) is the noise power spectral density, and the ( $E_b/N_0$ ) ratio is a normalized measure of the signal energy per bit to the noise power spectral density. The relationship between ( $E_b/N_0$ ) and the SNR for 16-QAM is given by Eq. (9):

$$[\text{SNR} = \frac{E_b}{N_0} \cdot \frac{R_b}{B}] \quad (9)$$



Where ( $R_b$ ) is the bit rate, ( $B$ ) is the bandwidth of the channel. Eq. (10) can be rearranged to express ( $E_b/N_0$ ) in terms of SNR:

$$\left[ \frac{E_b}{N_0} = \frac{\text{SNR}}{R_b/B} \right] \quad (10)$$

The SNR can be converted to decibels (dB) in Eq.(11):

$$[\text{SNR}(\text{dB}) = 10 \cdot \log_{10}(\text{SNR})] \quad (11)$$

#### D. Throughput Calculation

Throughput is the rate of successful message delivery over a communication channel. The throughput can be affected by the BER as errors require retransmission or error correction. The theoretical throughput without considering errors can be expressed in Eq. (12):

$$[\text{Throughput} = R_b \cdot (1 - \text{BER})] \quad (12)$$

However, considering retransmissions because of errors, the effective throughput becomes as shown in Eq. (13):

$$[\text{Throughput}_{\text{effective}} = \frac{R_b \cdot (1 - \text{BER})}{1 + \text{Retransmissions due to errors}}] \quad (13)$$

#### E. Array Gain And Beamforming

The gain of an antenna array due to beamforming is related to the number of elements and their pattern. The array gain ( $G$ ) can be approximated by applying Eq. (14):

$$[G = N \cdot G_e \cdot \text{AF}(\theta)] \quad (14)$$

Where ( $N$ ) is the number of antenna elements, ( $G_e$ ) is the gain of a single element, and ( $\text{AF}(\theta)$ ) is the array factor, a function of the direction relative to the beam's main lobe ( $\theta$ ).

For a Uniform Linear Array (ULA), the array factor for broadside direction can be simplified as in Eq. (15):

$$[\text{AF}(\theta) = \frac{\sin(N\pi d \sin(\theta)/\lambda)}{N \sin(\pi d \sin(\theta)/\lambda)}] \quad (15)$$

Where ( $d$ ) is the distance between elements, ( $\lambda$ ) is the wavelength of the carrier signal, and ( $\theta$ ) is the angle relative to the array axis.

#### F. Beam Steering

The phase shift ( $\Phi$ ) required for beam steering towards a particular user can be calculated by the following Eq. (16):

$$[\Phi_n = \frac{\{2\pi\}\{\lambda\}}{(n-1)d\sin(\theta_d)}] \quad (16)$$

Where ( $\Phi_n$ ) is the phase shift for the nth element, ( $\theta_d$ ) is the desired steering angle, and ( $n$ ) is the element index in the array.

#### G. MUSIC Algorithm

The A.I. uses statistical methods and signal processing algorithms. Thus, when noise is present the A.I. uses an algorithm known as MULTiple SIGNAL Classification

(MUSIC) for determining the direction of signal by leveraging orthogonality between signal and noise subspaces. The (MUSIC) estimator locates peaks in the spatial spectrum that correspond to directions of incoming signals.

For signal model, each user signal can be represented as a delta function in time. For the ( $i$ )-th user, the signal ( $s_i(t)$ ) at time ( $t$ ) is given by Eq. (17):

$$[s_i(t) = \delta(t - t_{0i})] \quad (17)$$

Where ( $\delta$ ) is the Dirac delta function, and ( $t_{0i}$ ) is the time of arrival for the ( $i$ )-th user's signal.

The Angle of Arrival (AOA) for the ( $i$ )-th user is represented as a vector ( $\theta_i = [\theta_{az,i}; \theta_{el,i}]$ ), where ( $\theta_{az,i}$ ) is the azimuth angle and ( $\theta_{el,i}$ ) is the elevation angle. The AOA determines the phase shift across the antenna elements and is critical for beamforming.

The response of an antenna array can be mathematically described by its array factor ( $\text{AF}(\theta)$ ). For a uniform linear array (ULA), the array factor is given by Eq. (18):

$$[\text{AF}(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^N e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}d(n-1)\sin(\theta)}] \quad (18)$$

Where ( $N$ ) is the number of elements, ( $d$ ) is the element spacing, ( $\lambda$ ) is the wavelength, and ( $\theta$ ) is the angle of arrival. The beamforming levels assigned to all antenna elements direct the beam towards a certain direction. These weights ( $w$ ) are complex numbers applied to phase and amplitude of the received signal on each element. The weights for the ( $n$ )-th element to direct the beam towards ( $\theta$ ) are given by Eq. (19):

$$[w_n = e^{j\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}d(n-1)\sin(\theta)}] \quad (19)$$

The power ( $P$ ) of the signal after applying the scaling factor ( $a$ ) is calculated using Eq. (20):

$$[P = \sum_t |a \cdot s(t)|^2] \quad (20)$$

The MUSIC algorithm estimates the DOA by forming a spatial spectrum and identifying its peaks. The spatial spectrum for MUSIC is given by Eq. (21):

$$[P(\theta) = \frac{1}{a(\theta)^H E_n E_n^H a(\theta)}] \quad (21)$$

Where ( $a(\theta)$ ) is the steering vector, ( $E_n$ ) is the noise eigenvector matrix, and ( $H$ ) denotes the Hermitian transpose.

The beam is steered by adjusting the weights applied to the received signals. The beam formed output ( $y$ ) is shown in Eq. (22):

$$[y(t) = w^H x(t)] \quad (22)$$

Where ( $x(t)$ ) is the received signal vector at the antenna elements, and ( $w$ ) is the weights vector.



#### 4. SYSTEM ASSUMPTION AND CONFIGURATION

The following section contain system assumption, configuration the simulated MATLAB model and the designed system's flowchart as follows.

##### A. System Assumptions

- Modulation Scheme (16-QAM)
- Beamforming Algorithm is Hybrid Phased
- A.I. Algorithm for Beam Steering for optimizing power consumption and maintaining signal integrity.
- Two distinct signals are generated to represent two users, each with a unique time of arrival.
- The angles of arrival (AOA) for each user are randomized within a range of -90 to 90 degrees.
- The A.I. algorithm assigns scaling factors to the signals, which adjust their amplitude and consequently, their power.
- Power calculations for each user's signal are performed to determine which user has the lower power signal.
- Noise is artificially added to the signals to simulate a realistic communication environment.
- The signals for both users are received through an antenna array with noise components.
- A beamforming algorithm is applied to the noisy signals, combining them in such a way to form a single beam directed towards the chosen user's signal.
- The A.I. employs a DOA estimation algorithm (MUSIC estimator) to find the direction from which the signals are arriving amidst the noise.
- The A.I. uses the calculated power to decide which user to direct the beam towards, opting for the user with the lower power signal to optimize system performance.
- The A.I.-controlled system dynamically adjusts the beam direction to align with the estimated DOA of the selected user.

##### B. System Configurations

Referring to Table I shown below, which it shows the configurations parameters that has been used for the MATLAB simulated modeled system, along with their direct impacts and effects on the calculated results.

TABLE I. PARAMETERS IMPACT ON THE RESULTS

Parameter	Setting	Impact on Results
Carrier Frequency	28 GHz	Affects wavelength and antenna design
Signal Amplitude	1V	Influences power calculation and beam direction
Antenna Array Elements	10	Impacts the array's ability to form and steer beams
Element Spacing	$\lambda/2$	Affects the array's spatial resolution and side
Modulation Order (M)	16	Affects bit error rate and throughput
Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR)	-25 to 25 dB	Challenges the A.I. in correctly estimating DOA
Angle of Arrival (AOA)	MUSIC	Determines beam steering direction
Scaling Factors	0-1	Used by the A.I. to prioritize users based on power
Frame Duration / s	1ms	Affects the data transmission
Symbol Rate / Hz	1 MHz	Rate at which symbols are transmitted

##### C. System Model And Flowchart

The strategy involves guiding the beam to those users and detecting the user which has an extremely low measurement of power under the preservation of signal integrity. Figure 1 shows how machine learning algorithms (MLAs) can be used to look at and change beamforming weights in real time with a phased array system that works at 28 GHz carrier frequency for two users.




Fig. 1. Beamforming System Model

Referring to figure 2, the system starts by collecting the signals from the users in the network, then calculates their AOA and sets a scaling factor based on their distance relative to the power level of the users. After the operation is done, the A.I. chooses the user with the least power in the network and adjusts the beam towards the user with the least power.

If the user moves or changes, its power is restored; a recalibration happens by sending feedback to the station, which makes the system redo the scaler calculation.




Fig. 2. System's Flowchart

**5. SIMULATION EVALUATION AND RESULTS DISCUSSION**

System analysis starts with Figure 3, which illustrates a time-domain signal depiction for two different users. Figure 3 shows the users being spread out in time domains, and they are separated from each other to make them more distinct.




Fig. 3. Time-Domain Signal for Two Users

In figures 4 and 5, noise has been added to the received signals at each antenna element to emulate these real-world conditions. This addition lets us make a thorough assessment of the A.I. system's ability to handle noise and filter it out while it concentrates on the desired signal. The simulation of the noise addition process includes the generation of a noise signal that resembles the characteristics of real noise—random, unpredictable, and of different magnitudes. This noise signal is then added to the signal collected by the antenna elements. The A.I. system processes the combined signal (the original signal coupled with noise).




Fig. 4. Noise Signal added to Users1




Fig. 5. Noise Signal added to Users2

Figure 6 and 7, on the other hand, draw polar plots that depict the adopted angles of arrival (AOA) for their respective users. In hindsight, these plots are very important for showing how well the A.I. fixes on AOA, which is a big part that is needed and enough to keep power usage low while signal integrity stays high.




Fig. 6. Angles of Arrival (AoA) for Users1




Fig. 8. Direction of Arrival (DOA) for users1




Fig. 7. Angles of Arrival (AoA) for Users2




Fig. 9. Direction of Arrival (DOA) for users2

Figures 8 and 9 give detailed DOA estimation plots, which are practically very useful to the successful beam steering mechanism of the system. These plots represent a kind of graphical proof that the A.I. can precisely pinpoint the location of the user. Discussing such a figure comprehensively would also require addressing the accuracy level of the DOA estimation for different conditions and special cases like non-line-of-sight scenarios and dynamically changing environments.

Figure 10 is a key illustration in depicting the effect of A.I.-driven beamforming iterations on signal integrity after post-processing. The enhanced clarity of the user’s signal in one distinct peak above any ambient level is illustrated in Figure 10 when a beamforming operation has been applied to it. This peak is not just a graphical construction but stands for a measurable manifestation of the A.I.’s ability to enhance controllable features and eliminate unwanted noise and interference. In a detailed analysis of this figure, one must elaborate on the A.I. algorithms that can adaptively optimize beamforming weights. This optimization is essential to ensuring the high signal clarity in Figure 3. The A.I. system also uses state-of-the-art techniques like machine learning models that have been trained to comprehend all the essential substances in different signal environments.

The system can do this by using models that allow the beamforming weights to be adjusted in real-time based on dynamic feedback about such things as signal environment, user location, etc. In addition, it is necessary to consider the trade-offs that the A.I. system may make in its quest for such clarity in a signal. For example, when the system aims at improving the signal for a given user or group of users, it can assign less power or attention to other sections of the network. One of the fundamental approaches to providing a realistic view of the abilities and potential outcomes generated by this AI system is discussing how it balances these trade-offs. In addition, the analysis should consider the technical details of how it is possible for A.I. to maintain signal integrity. One of the important facets that may be considered for a dialog is how the A.I. handles situations such as multipath propagation, where signals bounce off several surfaces before reaching a receiver, and how the same would lessen if any were understood about what is happening by the A.I. algorithm to isolate or strengthen only the desired signal path.




Fig. 10. Received Signal after Beamforming

In figures 11 and 12, the focus of analysis is on power distribution vs. different azimuth angles for two cases with and without beamforming weights. Of course, the increase in the concentration of the central lobe when using beamforming is a vivid proof that AI-driven steering is successful. A more detailed analysis here will be to compare the side lobe levels in different scenarios, which gives some valuable indications on the efficiency of the ML algorithm's work in interference cancellation and estimator precision as well. Furthermore, the establishment of the gain obtained from this process and how it augmented total network production result in a complete picture of system capabilities.




Fig. 11. Azimuth Angles for user1




Fig. 12. Azimuth Angles for user2

The simulated BER analysis for the system is shown in Figure 13. The points of blue stars represent the results of the BER simulation using the A.I. algorithm for beam steering. In fact, the performance is excellent at high  $E_b/N_0$ . This means that when a signal is much more powerful than noise, the A.I. algorithm runs precisely and steers the beam towards the user. At the lower  $E_b/N_0$  values, it can be observed a slight departure from the theoretical curve because there are imperfections in the real world, which include quantization errors, phase noise, and non-linearity within the system. The red curve shows the theoretical BER, which also helps determine the quality of performance of the system. That is because it establishes an ideal 16-QAM modulation without including any impairments or loss systems—particular losses. There are multiple factors that may be the reason behind this gap between the estimated BER and theoretical BER, including



imperfections in the A.I. algorithm that are not allowing it to align the beam perfectly, practical constraints of the hardware of the phased array for physical realization, signal processing errors and delays, etc. However, the minimization of power while steering the beam by the A.I. algorithm should not compromise BER beyond acceptable levels.




Fig. 13. BER vs. EbNo. (dB)

Figure 14 indicates the throughput, which shows that despite its  $E_b/N_0$  being in the negative region, the system is able to sustain data transmission. In theory, as the value of  $E_b/N_0$  decreases, errors tend to rise, therefore reducing the overall throughput through retransmissions and error correction overheads.

However, if the system uses strong error correction and retransmission techniques, it can hold a base throughput. The robustness of the system is observed at negative  $E_b/N_0$  values of throughput. This is because the A.I. algorithm can keep the beam alignment despite less-than-full signal-to-noise ratio levels. Error-correcting codes can allow for data recovery.

It can be seen that the throughput is sufficiently increasing until it reaches saturation at 10  $E_b/N_0$ . The reason behind that might be due to system limits like the maximum symbol rate, finite modulation levels (16-QAM), or a constraint in the processing capacity of the A.I. algorithm.

However, the A.I. can still guide the beam properly by utilizing peeks from these noises. The detailed plots and much of the obtained results clearly show how effective it is to have precise implementation of these algorithms and estimate very accurate signal parameters. These visuals show that the A.I. can work in a noisy environment and will ensure beam steering to maximize communication between the intended users.

This paves the way for beamforming to couple with numerous parameters such as complex interaction and an ever-changing acoustical signal environment; therefore, the system will manage to control it.




Fig. 14. Throughput vs. Eb/No

## 6. CONCLUSION

This paper introduces an innovative artificial intelligence (AI)-based beamforming technique by focusing on power efficiency and signal integrity. Using a machine learning algorithm, the BS did an all-around scan to find and direct beams towards the user equipment (UE) with the lowest power signature. This improved the budget link for that user and enhanced the network performance. Extensive simulations were conducted using ULA at 28 GHz with QAM modulation to authenticate the process. The A.I. algorithm dynamically adjusted the beamforming weights, which were then applied to synthetic user signals to simulate real-world conditions. Bit Error Rate (BER), Throughput, Angle of Arrival (AOA), Direction of Arrival (DOA), and Array Response metrics were used to confirm the results. They show that the A.I.-driven approach not only cuts down on power use but also keeps signal quality very accurate. The A.I.'s decision-making process was exactly analyzed, showcasing its capability to fine-tune beam direction in the presence of noise and interference. The study concluded that AI-based steering towards the least power-intensive user is not only viable but also enhances overall network efficiency and reliability. In the very near future, A.I.-based beamforming in vertical elevation will enhance power efficiency, network capacity, and the user's throughput.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Ahmed, S. Ayoob, and A. A. Janaby.: Comparative performance of mmwave 5G system for many beamforming methods, In Proceedings of 2nd International Multi-Disciplinary Conference



Theme: Integrated Sciences and Technologies, IMDC-IST 2021, 7-9 September 2021, Sakarya, Turkey. EUDL, <https://eudl.eu/doi/10.4108/eai.7-9-2021.2314818>.

- [2] S. Ahmed, S. Ayoob, and A. A. Janaby.: On The Performance of Multi-User Massive MIMO over mm wave Channels, In 2021 7th International Conference on Contemporary Information Technology and Mathematics (ICITM) (pp. 100-105). IEEE.
- [3] H. Al Kassir , Z. D. Zaharis, P. I. Lazaridis, N. V. Kantartzis, T. V. Yioultis and T. D. Xenos.: A Review of the State of the Art and Future Challenges of Deep Learning-Based Beamforming, IEEE Access, vol. 10, pp. 80869-80882, 2022, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3195299.
- [4] A. Bhatta., J. Park., D. Baek., and J. Kim.; A Multimode 28 GHz CMOS Fully Differential Beamforming IC for Phased Array Transceivers, Sensors, (2023). <https://doi.org/10.3390/s23136124>.
- [5] A. A. Janaby, 5G downlink throughput enhancement by beams consolidating at vacant traffic, Journal of Communications Software and Systems, Vol. 15, No. 4, PP. 311-316, (2019).
- [6] J. Kaur., S. Bhatti., R. Popoola., M. Imran., R. Ghannam., Q. H. Abbasi., H. T. Abbas.: Contextual Beamforming: Exploiting Location and AI for Enhanced Wireless Telecommunication Performance. (2023). <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2307.10183>
- [7] Jamel, Thamer & Al-Shuwaili, Ali & Mansoor, Bashar. (2021). International Journal of Computing and Digital Systems Novelty Study of the Window Length Effects on the Adaptive Beamforming Based-FEDS Approach. International Journal of Computing and Digital Systems. 9. 1221-1227. 10.12785/ijcds/0906019.
- [8] K. Ma., Z. Wang., W. Tian., S. Chen.,and L. Hanzo.: Deep Learning for Beam Management: Opportunities, State-of-the-Arts and Challenges.arXiv:InformationTheory,(2021).<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2111.11177>
- [9] S. Ahmed, S. Ayoob, and A. A. Janaby.: Comparative Performance of mmWave 5G System for many Beamforming Methods. 2022, <https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.7-9-2021.2314818>.
- [10] O. Al-Tae., A. A. Janaby., Y. M. Abbosh., : 5G Uplink Performance of Symbol-Based Schedulers with Network Slicing. (2022). doi: 10.4108/eai.7-9-2021.2314842
- [11] Cortez, Anndrei NO, et al. "Spatial Multiplexing and Hybrid Beamforming Design For 5G Wireless System." *International Journal of Computing and Digital Systems* 14.1 (2023): 1-1..
- [12] Al Janaby, Ali Othman, Alauddin Al-Omary, and Siddeeq Y Ameen. "Taming Existing Satellite and 5G Systems to Next Generation Networks." *International Journal of Computing and Digital Systems* 14.1 (2023): 1-xx.
- [13] J. G. Proakis: "Digital Communications", McGraw-Hill Education, 4th ed., 2013.
- [14] C. A. Balanis: "Antenna Theory: Analysis and Design", Wiley, 4th ed.,2017.



**Ali Othman Al Janaby** was born in Iraq-Mosul, in 1961. He received the B.Sc. in Electrical Engineering from the University of Mosul, Iraq in 1983 and M.Sc. degree in Electronics and Communications / wireless LAN in 2005 from the same university. Finally, he received his Ph.D. degree in computer Networks concerning LTE and LTE-A systems from the Electrical Engineering department of the University of Mosul in 2017.

Dr. Ali is currently an Assistant professor in Communication Networks in Communication Engineering Department of the Electronics Engineering College as well as Vice Dean for Scientific Affairs at the



Information Technology (IT) College at Ninevah University. Research interest: LTE; Wireless communication; IoT; 5G. and 6G.

**Yousif Maher Al-Hatim** was graduated with B.Sc degree from university of Ninevah-Electrical Engineering-Department of Telecommunication Engineering and currently an M.Sc. student of telecommunication engineering. He has also been giving short courses on related subjects. His current research program in telecommunication includes work in the areas of Antenna theory, beamforming, signal processing, machine learning, mobile communication networks, and multimedia communication. Research emphasis is on telecommunication schemes for development of technology.